

YouGov / Sunday Times Survey Results

Sample Size: 1734 GB Adults

Fieldwork: 18th - 19th October 2012

| Fieldwork: 18th - 19th October 2012 | ſ | | Votii | ng inter | ntion | 2 | 010 Vo | te | Ge | nder | | Aç | ge | | Social | grade | | | Region | | |
|---|----------|---------|--------|----------|------------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|---------------------|--------|----------|
| | ſ | Total | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Male | Female | 18-24 | 25-39 | 40-59 | 60+ | ABC1 | C2DE | London | Rest of South | Midlands / Wales | North | Scotland |
| Weighte | d Sample | 1734 | 423 | 569 | 120 | 539 | 438 | 365 | 843 | 891 | 210 | 442 | 593 | 489 | 988 | 746 | 222 | 564 | 371 | 427 | 151 |
| Unweighte | d Sample | 1734 | 436 | 525 | 120 | 537 | 414 | 397 | 879 | 855 | 130 | 394 | 675 | 535 | 1162 | 572 | 234 | 620 | 344 | 373 | 163 |
| | - | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Headline Voting Intention | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| [Excluding Don't knows and Wouldn't votes] | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Con | | 32 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 2 | 12 | 31 | 33 | 26 | 32 | 30 | 36 | 36 | 27 | 39 | 37 | 36 | 24 | 15 |
| Lab | | 43 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 6 | 89 | 38 | 41 | 45 | 57 | 46 | 46 | 33 | 40 | 47 | 44 | 34 | 42 | 55 | 37 |
| Lib Dem | | 9 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 3 | 37 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 5 |
| Other | | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 13 | 17 | 15 | 6 | 12 | 16 | 23 | 12 | 22 | 9 | 18 | 16 | 9 | 43 |
| Other Parties Voting Intention | | • | | ~ | • | 40 | | ~ | 10 | 7 | | - | 0 | | | | - | 40 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| UKIP SNP / PCY | | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| SNP / PCY Green | | 4 2 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 4 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 2 | 4 2 | 5 2 | 3 2 | 5 1 | 4 2 | 5 1 | 0 | 0 2 | 2 3 | 0 1 | 40 1 |
| BNP | | ∠ 1 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 1 | 1 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 1 | 3 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Respect | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non Voters | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | ' ' | 0 | | U | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Would Not Vote | | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| Don't know | | , 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 26 | 12 | 21 | 23 | 18 | 15 | 13 | | 17 | 10 | 23 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
| Dont know | | 10 | Ŭ | Ū | Ũ | | , | 20 | 12 | 21 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | | 10 | 20 | | | 10 |
| | Oct 17- | Oct 18- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 18 | 19 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Do you approve or disapprove of the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Government's record to date? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approve | 27 | 27 | 80 | 4 | 28 | 59 | 7 | 21 | 31 | 23 | 20 | 32 | 25 | 28 | 31 | 21 | 32 | 27 | 30 | 24 | 18 |
| Disapprove | 58 | 57 | 9 | 92 | 44 | 26 | 87 | 62 | 57 | 58 | 53 | 50 | 62 | 60 | 54 | 62 | 53 | 54 | 57 | 62 | 61 |
| Don't know | 15 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 27 | 15 | 6 | 18 | 13 | 19 | 27 | 18 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 19 | 13 | 14 | 21 |
| | | | - | | | - | | | | | _ | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | Oct 11- | Oct 18- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12 | 19 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Do you think that David Cameron is doing well | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| or badly as Prime Minister? | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very well | | 4 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| Fairly well | | 33 | 75 | 7 | 51 | 63 | 11 | 35 | 32 | 34 | 29 | 35 | 32 | 34 | 39 | 25 | 33 | 38 | 33 | 31 | 20 |
| TOTAL WELL | | 37 | 90 | 7 | 51 | 72 | 11 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 31 | 39 | 35 | 39 | 43 | 29 | 37 | 41 | 40 | 33 | 21 |
| Fairly badly | | 31 | 7 | 40 | 35 | 19 | 39 | 36 | 28 | 34 | 35 | 30 | 29 | 34 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 29 | 28 | 31 | 42 |
| Very badly | | 25 | 1 | 50 | 7 | 5 | 47 | 23 | 28 | 22 | 16 | 20 | 31 | 25 | 21 | 30 | 19 | 21 | 25 | 32 | 27 |
| TOTAL BADLY | 57 | 56 | 8 | 90 | 42 | 24 | 86 | 59 | 56 | 56 | 51 | 50 | 60 | 59 | 52 | 61 | 53 | 50 | 53 | 63 | 69 |
| Don't know | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 18 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 10 |



| Fieldwork: 18th - 19th October 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------------|
| | | | Voti | ng inte | ntion | 2 | 010 Vo | te | Ge | ender | | Aç | ge | | Social | grade | | - | Region | | |
| | | Total | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Male | Female | 18-24 | 25-39 | 40-59 | 60+ | ABC1 | C2DE | London | Rest of South | Midlands / Wales | North | Scotland |
| Weighted | I Sample | 1734 | 423 | 569 | 120 | 539 | 438 | 365 | 843 | 891 | 210 | 442 | 593 | 489 | 988 | 746 | 222 | 564 | 371 | 427 | 151 |
| Unweighte | d Sample | 1734 | 436 | 525 | 120 | 537 | 414 | 397 | 879 | 855 | 130 | 394 | 675 | 535 | 1162 | 572 | 234 | 620 | 344 | 373 | 163 |
| | a | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| | Oct 11- | Oct 18- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12 | 19 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Do you think Ed Miliband is doing well or badly | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| as leader of the Labour party? | F | • | | 10 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | | 2 | | 2 | 2 | - | 2 |
| Very well | 5 | 3 30 | 0 12 | 10 | 1 28 | 1 | 9 56 | 3 31 | 4 32 | 3 27 | 1 24 | 3 27 | 4 33 | 4 | 4 29 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 27 |
| Fairly well | 32 | | | 63 | | 15 | | | | | | | | 31 | | 31 | 31 | 23 | 29 | 39 | |
| TOTAL WELL | 37 | 33 32 | 12 46 | 73 19 | 29 43 | 16 | 65 | 34 | 36 | 30 | 25 30 | 30 | 37 | 35 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 26 | 32 | 44 | 29 |
| Fairly badly | 31 20 | 32 19 | 46 34 | 4 | 43 12 | 41 34 | 20 7 | 36 14 | 29 23 | 35 16 | 30 12 | 33 15 | 29 23 | 36 22 | 36 20 | 27 19 | 35 22 | 35 20 | 33 23 | 25 16 | 40 15 |
| Very badly TOTAL BADLY | 20 51 | 19 51 | 80 | 4 23 | 55 | 75 | 27 | 50 | 23 52 | 51 | 42 | 15 48 | 23 52 | 22 58 | 20 56 | 46 | 57 | 20 55 | 23 56 | 41 | 55 |
| Don't know | 51 12 | 15 | 8 | ∠3 5 | 55 16 | 9 | 9 | 50 15 | 52 12 | 51 18 | 42 33 | 40 22 | 52 | 58 6 | 30 12 | 40 19 | 57 11 | 33 18 | 30 12 | 41 | 33 16 |
| DONT KNOW | 12 | 15 | • | 5 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 33 | 22 | | 0 | 12 | 19 | | 10 | 12 | 15 | 10 |
| Do you think that Nick Clegg is doing well or badly as leader of the Liberal Democrats? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very well | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Fairly well | 16 | 16 | 30 | 6 | 50 | 24 | 5 | 24 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 19 | 12 | 11 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 13 |
| TOTAL WELL | 17 | 17 | 31 | 6 | 55 | 25 | 5 | 26 | 17 | 16 | 12 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 20 | 13 | 12 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 13 |
| Fairly badly | 32 | 31 | 36 | 29 | 28 | 33 | 31 | 35 | 28 | 34 | 25 | 35 | 30 | 32 | 35 | 26 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 39 |
| Very badly | 43 | 42 | 28 | 61 | 8 | 37 | 60 | 33 | 47 | 37 | 40 | 31 | 47 | 48 | 38 | 47 | 47 | 38 | 45 | 43 | 41 |
| TOTAL BADLY | 75 | 73 | 64 | 90 | 36 | 70 | 91 | 68 | 75 | 71 | 65 | 66 | 77 | 80 | 73 | 73 | 78 | 69 | 75 | 73 | 80 |
| Don't know | 8 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 23 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 7 |
| Do you think the Conservative and Liberal Democrat coalition partners are working together well or badly? | | | | | | Ι. | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
| Very well | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Fairly well | 25 | 25 | 60 | 5 | 51 | 46 | 7 | 30 | 26 | 24 | 10 | 30 | 24 | 29 | 30 | 19 | 24 | 28 | 24 | 25 | 20 |
| TOTAL WELL | 27 | 26 | 62 | 5 | 55 | 47 | 7 | 32 | 28 | 24 | 10 | 31 | 25 | 30 | 31 | 20 | 25 | 29 | 25 | 27 | 21 |
| Fairly badly | 36 | 38 | 31 | 44 | 26 | 37 | 42 | 41 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 37 | 37 | 41 | 40 | 36 | 42 | 35 | 42 | 34 | 49 |
| Very badly | 29 | 27 | 5 | 49 | 11 | 11 | 49 | 20 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 20 | 31 | 28 | 24 | 31 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 33 | 25 |
| TOTAL BADLY | 65 8 | 65 9 | 36 2 | 93 1 | 37 | 48 | 91 | 61 7 | 65 | 64 11 | 65 | 57 | 68 7 | 69 | 64 | 67 | 68 | 60 11 | 66 10 | 67 7 | 74 |
| Don't know Do you think this coalition government is good | σ | 9 | 2 | I | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 24 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 11 | 10 | / | 6 |
| or bad for people like you? | | | _ | | | _ | | | _ | | _ | | | | _ | | _ | | | | |
| Very good | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Fairly good | 14 | 14 | 37 | 1 | 32 | 26 | 3 | 17 | 16 | 12 | 7 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 10 | 15 | 17 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| TOTAL GOOD | 16 | 16 | 42 | 1 | 37 | 29 | 3 | 20 | 18 | 13 | 8 | 17 | 15 | 18 | 19 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Fairly bad | 27 | 27 | 22 | 31 | 36 | 23 | 36 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 33 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 25 | 29 | 22 | 31 | 26 | 31 |
| Very bad | 31 | 29 | 6 | 55 | 5 | 14 | 50 | 26 | 30 | 27 | 19 | 21 | 36 | 30 | 27 | 32 | 21 | 26 | 29 | 36 | 29 |
| TOTAL BAD | 58 | 56 | 28 | 86 | 41 | 37 | 86 | 52 | 56 | 54 | 52 | 46 | 61 | 57 | 55 | 57 | 50 | 48 | 60 | 62 | 60 |
| Does't make much difference either way | 20 | 22 | 29 | 10 | 19 | 30 | 9 | 23 | 19 | 25 | 21 | 26 | 19 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 25 | 20 | 21 | 20 |
| Don't know | 6 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 18 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 |



| Fieldwork: 18th - 19th October 2012 | | | Voti | ng inte | ntion | 2 | 010 Vo | te | Ge | nder | | Ac | ie | | Socia | grade | | | Region | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------------|----------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|----------|
| | | Total | Con | Lab | Lib | Con | Lab | Lib | | Female | 18-24 | Ē | Í | 60+ | ABC1 | ľ | London | Rest of | Midlands / | North | Scotland |
| | | | | | Dem | | | Dem | | | | | | | | | | South | Wales | | |
| | d Sample | | 423 | 569 | | 539 | 438 | 365 | 843 | 891 | 210 | | 593 | 489 | 988 | 746 | 222 | 564 | 371 | 427 | 151 |
| Unweighte | d Sample | 1734 | 436 | 525 | 120 | 537 | 414 | 397 | 879 | 855 | 130 | 394 | 675 | 535 | 1162 | 572 | 234 | 620 | 344 | 373 | 163 |
| | 0 | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| | Oct 11- | Oct 18- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De very think the coelition reversement is | 12 | 19 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Do you think the coalition government is | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| managing the economy well or badly? | 2 | 2 | • | 0 | 0 | G | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Very well Fairly well | 2 26 | 2 29 | 8 74 | 0 4 | 0 43 | 6 60 | 0 7 | 2 26 | 3 32 | 1 26 | 2 20 | 3 29 | 2 27 | 2 34 | 3 33 | 1 23 | 2 31 | 3 30 | 3 30 | 1 27 | 23 |
| TOTAL WELL | | 29 31 | 82 | 4 | 43 43 | 60 66 | 7 | 26 28 | 32 35 | 20 27 | 20 22 | 29 32 | 27 29 | 34 36 | 33 36 | 23 24 | 33 | 30 33 | 30 33 | 27 28 | 23 24 |
| Fairly badly | | 31 | 82 12 | 4 38 | 43 35 | 21 | 35 | 28 36 | 35 29 | 31 | 27 | 32 32 | 29 29 | 30 | 36 31 | 24 28 | 27 | - 33 - 28 | 33 34 | 28 30 | 24 31 |
| Very badly | | 28 | 12 | 30 53 | 35 11 | 7 | 53 | 36 26 | 29 28 | 28 | 26 | 32 20 | 29 33 | 30 | 25 | 20 32 | 28 | 20 26 | 34 24 | 30 | 33 |
| TOTAL BADLY | | 58 | 13 | 91 | 46 | 28 | 88 | 62 62 | 57 | 20 59 | 53 | 52 | 62 | 61 | 56 | 60 | 55 | 20 54 | 58 | 62 | 64 |
| Don't know | | 11 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 14 | 25 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| Don't know | 5 | | J | - | | Ū | т | | 5 | 14 | 20 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 10 | 12 |
| In your opinion how good or bad is the state of Britain's economy at the moment? | | | _ | | | _ | | | | | _ | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| Very good | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quite good | 2 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| TOTAL GOOD | 2 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| Neither good nor bad | 17 | 19 | 35 | 9 | 38 | 28 | 10 | 22 | 21 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 23 | 19 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 22 | 18 | 21 |
| Quite bad | 49 | 45 | 44 | 47 | 33 | 49 | 47 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 41 | 48 | 42 | 47 | 50 | 38 | 47 | 49 | 41 | 43 | 42 |
| Very bad | 27 | 26 | 7 | 40 | 16 | 13 | 39 | 28 | 25 | 28 | 18 | 24 | 33 | 24 | 23 | 31 | 25 | 23 | 28 | 31 | 27 |
| TOTAL BAD | 76 | 71 | 51 | 87 | 49 | 62 | 86 | 73 | 70 | 73 | 59 | 72 | 75 | 71 | 73 | 69 | 72 | 72 | 69 | 74 | 69 |
| Don't know | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 21 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| How do you think the financial situation of your household will change over the next 12 months? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Get a lot better | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Get a little better | 9 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 17 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 5 |
| TOTAL BETTER | | 10 | 16 | 5 | 17 | 14 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 19 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| Stay about the same | | 35 | 50 | 32 | 41 | 43 | 32 | 30 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 41 | 33 | 31 | 37 | 32 | 38 | 33 | 36 | 34 | 36 |
| Get a little worse | | 35 | 27 | 36 | 37 | 32 | 40 | 37 | 34 | 35 | 14 | 31 | 36 | 45 | 34 | 36 | 26 | 36 | 34 | 37 | 36 |
| Get a lot worse | 20 | 15 | 5 | 22 | 3 | 9 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 22 | 17 | 13 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| TOTAL WORSE | | 50 | 32 | 58 | 40 | 41 | 58 | 54 | 49 | 50 | 22 | 39 | 58 | 62 | 47 | 54 | 42 | 50 | 49 | 53 | 53 |
| Don't know | 5 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 23 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 5 |



| | | Votii | ng inter | ntion | 2 | 010 Vo | te | Ge | nder | | Ag | e | | Social | grade | | | Region | | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|----------|------------|-----|--------|------------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-----|--------|-------|--------|------------------|---------------------|-------|----------|
| | Total | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Male | Female | 18-24 | 25-39 | 40-59 | 60+ | ABC1 | C2DE | London | Rest of South | Midlands / Wales | North | Scotland |
| Weighted Sample | 1734 | 423 | 569 | 120 | 539 | 438 | 365 | 843 | 891 | 210 | 442 | 593 | 489 | 988 | 746 | 222 | 564 | 371 | 427 | 151 |
| Unweighted Sample | 1734 | 436 | 525 | 120 | 537 | 414 | 397 | 879 | 855 | 130 | 394 | 675 | 535 | 1162 | 572 | 234 | 620 | 344 | 373 | 163 |
| | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| a botwoon | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

As you may know, there is a difference between tax AVOIDANCE, whereby people use artificial but legal methods to minimise the tax they pay, and tax EVASION, whereby people act illegally to pay less tax, or no tax at all.

Thinking about companies that use tax avoidance methods to reduce the amount of tax they have to pay, do you think the government is or is not doing enough to reduce their use of tax avoidance schemes?

The government is not doing enough to reduce the amount of tax avoidance by companies The government is already doing all it can reasonably be expected to do to reduce the amount of tax avoidance Don't know

In general, do you think it is acceptable or unacceptable to LEGALLY avoid paying tax? It is acceptable to legally avoid tax It is unacceptable to legally avoid tax Don't know

If you personally had an accountant who could show you how you reduce the income tax you had to pay through artificial but legal means, would you do so?

Yes, I probably would No, I probably wouldn't Don't know Not applicable - I do not currently pay any income tax

| 77 | 74 | 86 | 72 | 74 | 84 | 83 | 78 | 76 | 65 | 66 | 81 | 88 | 79 | 74 | 75 | 77 8 15 | 78 | 75 | 81 |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 9 | 17 | 4 | 16 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 5 |
| 14 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 16 | 24 | 23 | 12 | 5 | 10 | 19 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 13 |
| 26 64 10 | 38 56 7 | 17 76 7 | 34 55 12 | 36 55 9 | 21 70 9 | 23 70 7 | 31 61 9 | 22 66 12 | 28 51 21 | 29 58 12 | 24 67 9 | 25 70 5 | 30 63 7 | 21 65 14 | 39 53 7 | 26 63 11 | 25 66 10 | 23 65 12 | 19 72 9 |
| 42 29 18 12 | 53 22 16 8 | 34 39 18 9 | 44 30 17 9 | 51 23 17 8 | 35 38 19 8 | 38 33 19 11 | 45 29 17 9 | 39 29 19 14 | 31 23 15 31 | 49 25 19 8 | 44 29 21 6 | 38 34 15 13 | 33 16 | 40 22 21 17 | 56 19 15 10 | 40 28 19 13 | 42 32 16 9 | 39 28 20 13 | 36 34 20 10 |



| | | Voti | ng inter | ntion | 2 | 010 Vo | te | Ge | nder | | Aç | je | | Social | grade | | | Region | | |
|--|-------|------|----------|------------|-----|--------|------------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-----|--------|-------|--------|------------------|---------------------|-------|----------|
| | Total | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Male | Female | 18-24 | 25-39 | 40-59 | 60+ | ABC1 | C2DE | London | Rest of South | Midlands / Wales | North | Scotland |
| Weighted Sample | 1734 | 423 | 569 | 120 | 539 | 438 | 365 | 843 | 891 | 210 | 442 | 593 | 489 | 988 | 746 | 222 | 564 | 371 | 427 | 151 |
| Unweighted Sample | - | 436 | 525 | 120 | 537 | 414 | 397 | 879 | 855 | 130 | 394 | 675 | 535 | 1162 | 572 | 234 | 620 | 344 | 373 | 163 |
| | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| he UK and ce, which w? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and the rich d be reduced and the rich | 19 | 31 | 12 | 5 | 30 | 15 | 11 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 22 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 24 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 19 |
| government ther than cut taxes | 52 | 52 | 57 | 65 | 53 | 52 | 62 | 54 | 51 | 42 | 50 | 53 | 59 | 57 | 47 | 52 | 52 | 55 | 51 | 52 |
| d the rich are ax avoidance | 13 | 8 | 18 | 25 | 8 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 14 |
| Don't know | 16 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 20 | 30 | 17 | 16 | 8 | 11 | 22 | 14 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| s or se to them | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tively boycott y enough tax ay tax I don't | 15 | 12 | 20 | 9 | 13 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 11 | 16 | 13 | 17 | 13 | 18 |
| ject or which boycott them | 35 | 35 | 34 | 53 | 34 | 32 | 44 | 30 | 39 | 29 | 33 | 33 | 42 | 38 | 30 | 29 | 33 | 35 | 39 | 33 |
| lity and other ht to me than any pays tax | 37 | 45 | 32 | 34 | 42 | 33 | 33 | 39 | 35 | 39 | 41 | 37 | 32 | 34 | 40 | 43 | 38 | 35 | 34 | 36 |
| Not sure | 14 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 11 | 16 | 7 | 12 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 18 | 11 | 16 | 12 | 14 | 13 |

Thinking about the level of taxes in the UK and whether this encourages tax avoidance, which of the following best reflects your view?

High tax rates in the UK on business and the rich encourage tax avoidance and should be reduced High tax rates in the UK on business and the rich encourage tax avoidance, but the government should crack down on avoidance rather than cut taxes

Tax rates in the UK on business and the rich are not too high and don't encourage tax avoidance Don't know

Do you currently boycott the products or services of any companies in response to them not paying their fair share of tax?

Yes - there are companies I actively boycott because they don't pay enough tax No - while I care whether companies pay tax I don't really know enough about the subject or which companies don't pay tax to boycott them No - when buying things price, quality and other considerations are more important to me than whether a company pays tax Not sure



| | | Votii | ng intei | ntion | 2 | 010 Vo | te | Ge | ender | | Aç | je | | Social | grade | | | Region | | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|----------|------------|-----|--------|------------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-----|--------|-------|--------|------------------|---------------------|-------|----------|
| | Total | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Male | Female | 18-24 | 25-39 | 40-59 | 60+ | ABC1 | C2DE | London | Rest of South | Midlands / Wales | North | Scotland |
| Weighted Sample | 1734 | 423 | Dem | | 539 | 438 | 365 | 843 | 891 | 210 | 442 | 593 | 489 | 988 | 746 | 222 | 564 | 371 | 427 | 151 |
| Unweighted Sample | 1734 | 436 | | | 397 | 879 | 855 | 130 | 394 | 675 | 535 | 1162 | 572 | 234 | 620 | 344 | 373 | 163 | | |
| | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |

In recent years some retired politicians, senior civil servants or senior military officers have gone on to work for private sector companies in lobbying roles - that is, using their knowledge and contacts in government to help promote the interests of clients to government ministers and civil servants

Thinking about former civil servants and military officers taking up jobs for lobbying companies, which of the following best reflects your view?

There is nothing wrong with former military officers or civil servants who have left their jobs using their knowledge of and contacts in government to earn a living

It is wrong that former military officers or civil servants should be able to use their contacts in and knowledge of government to make money and it risks conflicts of interest or corruption Neither

Don't know

Support

Oppose

Don't know

Would you support or oppose laws banning former civil servants and military officers from working for lobbying companies for a period after leaving their public sector role?

| 26 | 39 | 20 | 32 | 36 | | | | 27 | | | | 20 | 30 | 21 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 19 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 50 | 44 | 58 | 54 | 47 | 56 | 54 | 53 | 47 | 35 | 39 | 50 | 66 | 51 | 48 | 50 | 47 | 50 | 54 | 53 |
| 7 17 | 9 8 | 6 16 | 8 6 | 8 9 | 4 16 | 7 15 | 7 14 | 7 19 | 6 31 | 6 23 | 8 15 | 7 7 | 8 11 | 7 24 | 3 20 | 7 19 | 10 14 | 7 13 | 7 21 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 19 34 | 46 26 27 | 52 17 31 | 44 33 23 | 49 24 27 | 52 16 32 | 48 20 32 | 51 20 28 | 44 18 39 | 27 23 50 | 31 22 47 | 51 19 30 | 67 14 20 | 48 23 29 | 47 14 39 | 51 16 33 | 47 18 35 | 46 22 32 | 46 22 32 | 50 11 39 |



| | | Voti | ng inter | ntion | 2 | 010 Vo | te | Ge | ender | | Aç | je | | Social | grade | | | Region | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|------|----------|-------|-----|------------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|--------|--------|------------------|---------------------|--------|----------|-----|
| | Total Con Lab Dem | | | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Male | Female | 18-24 | 25-39 | 40-59 | 60+ | ABC1 | C2DE | London | Rest of South | Midlands / Wales | North | Scotland | |
| Weighted Sample | 1734 | 423 | 569 | 120 | 539 | 438 | 365 | 843 | 891 | 210 | 442 | 593 | 489 | 988 | 746 | 222 | 564 | 371 | 427 | 151 |
| Unweighted Sample | 1734 | 436 | 525 | 120 | 537 | 414 | 397 | 879 | 855 | 130 | 394 | 675 | 535 | 1162 | 572 | 234 | 620 | 344 | 373 | 163 |
| | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |

In the previous question you said you would support a ban on former civil servants and military officers working for lobbying companies after leaving their public sector role. How long do you think this ban should be for?

[Only asked to those who said they would support a ban on former civil servants and military officers from working for lobbying companies for a period after leaving their role n=896]

| A year | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 9 |
|-----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Two years | 18 | 24 | 15 | 19 | 26 | 12 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 23 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 27 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 12 |
| Five years | 37 | 40 | 37 | 38 | 41 | 35 | 35 | 37 | 38 | 41 | 27 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 34 | 36 | 40 | 37 | 36 | 30 |
| Ten years | 12 | 10 | 13 | 24 | 7 | 13 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 16 |
| A permanent ban | 23 | 16 | 25 | 11 | 17 | 26 | 20 | 24 | 22 | 14 | 19 | 26 | 24 | 20 | 28 | 13 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 29 |
| Don't know | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 4 |

Currently former civil servants, military officers and politicians have to consult with an independent body - ACOBA - before they take jobs in the private sector after leaving or retiring from public service. This body can advise they do not take a job because of potential conflicts or interest, or suggest restrictions, such as barring them from lobbying government and officials that worked for them. Currently ACOBA is only advisory, and doesn't have any legal powers to enforce its recommendations. Would you support or oppose giving ACOBA powers to enforce tougher punishments against former civil servants and officers who ignore their recommendations, such as removing their honours?

Support 60 67 59 65 63 62 64 57 37 49 65 75 65 54 57 57 64 65 64 60 Oppose 9 13 8 15 12 10 9 11 7 18 12 8 5 10 9 10 9 11 10 6 30 23 25 26 23 27 29 25 36 45 40 27 20 25 37 33 34 29 Don't know 26 29



| Fieldwork: 18th - 19th October 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | | Voti | ng inter | ntion | 2 | 2010 Vot | e | Ge | nder | | Aç | je | | Social | grade | | | Region | | |
| | Total | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Con | | Lib Dem | Male | Female | 18-24 | | 40-59 | 60+ | ABC1 | C2DE | London | Rest of South | Midlands / Wales | North | Scotland |
| Weighted Sample | | 423 | 569 | 120 | 539 | 438 | 365 | 843 | 891 | 210 | 442 | 593 | 489 | 988 | 746 | 222 | 564 | 371 | 427 | 151 |
| Unweighted Sample | | 436 | 525 % | 120 % | 537 % | 414 % | 397 % | 879 % | 855 % | 130 % | 394 % | 675 % | 535 % | 1162 | 572 % | 234 % | 620 % | 344 % | 373 | 163 % |
| And would you support or oppose giving ACOBA legal powers to enforce their recommendations? Support | % 57 | 63 | % 62 | 58 | % 64 | % 58 | % 59 | % 63 | % 51 | 32 | % 44 | % 63 | 72 | % 62 | % 51 | % 51 | % 54 | % 60 | % 60 | % |
| Oppose | 10 | 10 | 10 | 14 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 22 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 7 |
| Don't know | 33 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 30 | 30 | 26 | 40 | 46 | 45 | 30 | 21 | 28 | 40 | 31 | 37 | 31 | 30 | 32 |
| Thinking about the standards of people in public life these days and also people and scandals in years gone past, do you think standards of behaviour of figures in public life have improved, worsened or are about the same as they were ten years ago? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Improved | 4 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| Worsened | 50 | 46 | 57 | 33 | 48 | 54 | 48 | 51 | 49 40 | 39 | 42 42 | 51 | 60 | 48 | 52 | 44 | 51 37 | 56 | 48 | 47 |
| Stayed the same Don't know | 38 8 | 45 3 | 34 6 | 56 3 | 43 4 | 37 5 | 44 5 | 37 9 | 40 8 | 31 24 | 42 12 | 39 6 | 38 1 | 43 4 | 33 14 | 43 7 | 37 11 | 33 8 | 41 6 | 43 8 |
| What do you think is MAINLY responsible for the rising cost in energy bills? Energy companies taking bigger profits The rising price of oil and gas The cost of meeting government targets for reducing carbon emissions The cost of building new infrastructure and power plants | 58 17 11 4 | 56 18 13 5 | 67 17 5 4 | 47 31 13 4 | 55 16 17 4 | 67 15 9 2 | 57 25 7 5 | 53 18 15 4 | 62 16 8 3 | 37 25 9 3 | 54 24 6 4 | 64 13 11 3 | 63 13 17 3 | 59 18 10 4 | 55 16 13 3 | 57 20 10 2 | 58 16 10 4 | 56 17 13 5 | 57 18 13 3 | 62 15 8 4 |
| Something else | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Don't know | 8 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 23 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 9 |
| Currently energy companies are obliged to meet the costs of meeting the government's energy targets for reducing carbon emissions, including things like offering subsidised insulation or boilers to customers to help them reduce their energy usage. The cost of all these measures is passed onto customers through higher bills. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Do you think this is justified or unjustified? Justified Unjustified Don't know | 14 71 14 | 18 75 7 | 14 74 12 | 29 60 11 | 14 76 10 | 12 76 12 | 24 66 10 | 16 72 12 | 13 71 17 | 16 55 29 | 16 66 19 | 13 77 10 | 14 77 9 | 19 68 13 | 8 76 16 | 15 69 16 | 16 67 17 | 14 72 14 | 13 77 10 | 13 72 15 |



| |] | | Votir | ng inter | tion | 2 | 010 Vo | te | Ge | nder | | Ag | е | | Social | grade | | | Region | | |
|---|--------------------|-------|-------|----------|------------|-----|--------|------------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|----------|-------|--------|------------------|---------------------|-------|----------|
| | | Total | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Male | Female | 18-24 | 25-39 | 40-59 | 60+ | ABC1 | C2DE | London | Rest of South | Midlands / Wales | North | Scotland |
| Weighted | Sample | 1734 | 423 | 569 | 120 | 539 | 438 | 365 | 843 | 891 | 210 | 442 | 593 | 489 | 988 | 746 | 222 | 564 | 371 | 427 | 151 |
| Unweighted | | 1734 | 436 | 525 | 120 | 537 | 414 | 397 | 879 | 855 | 130 | 394 | 675 | 535 | 1162 | 572 | 234 | 620 | 344 | 373 | 163 |
| 5 | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| | Nov 24- 25 2011 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Thinking about the country's future energy provision, do you think the government should be looking to use more or less of the following? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Solar power | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| More than present | 74 | 72 | 70 | 75 | 78 | 71 | 72 | 80 | 70 | 74 | 60 | 71 | 75 | 74 | 74 | 69 | 68 | 72 | 70 | 74 | 77 |
| Less than present | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 4 |
| Maintain current levels | 12 | 12 | 18 | 12 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 6 |
| Not sure | 9 | 11 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 13 |
| Wind farms | | | - | | | - | | | - | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| More than present | 56 | 55 | 49 | 64 | 67 | 45 | 60 | 67 | 52 | 57 | 65 | 63 | 53 | 45 | 59 | 49 | 57 | 56 | 50 | 55 | 59 |
| Less than present | 19 | 21 | 28 | 14 | 18 | 34 | 17 | 11 | 25 | 17 | 9 | 12 | 19 | 36 | 19 | 22 | 19 | 20 | 24 | 21 | 18 |
| Maintain current levels | 15 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 10 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 13 |
| Not sure | 9 | 11 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| Nuclear power stations | | | | | | | | | _ | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| More than present | 35 | 40 | 57 | 36 | 33 | 58 | 34 | 34 | 54 | 26 | 26 | 35 | 38 | 52 | 42 | 37 | 38 | 40 | 44 | 41 | 30 |
| Less than present | 27 | 20 | 13 | 21 | 29 | 13 | 20 | 27 | 14 | 25 | 18 | 23 | 21 | 16 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 22 | 30 |
| Maintain current levels | 23 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 28 | 19 | 24 | 24 | 18 | 23 | 26 | 18 | 22 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 23 |
| Not sure | 15 | 19 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 22 | 15 | 14 | 25 | 29 | 24 | 18 | 12 | 16 | 24 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 17 |
| Oil power stations | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| More than present | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 6 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 8 |
| Less than present | 47 | 45 | 48 | 49 | 57 | 42 | 52 | 49 | 53 | 37 | 63 | 47 | 43 | 38 | 49 | 40 | 52 | 44 | 45 | 44 | 43 |
| Maintain current levels | 27 | 23 | 28 | 22 | 23 | 29 | 20 | 25 | 23 | 24 | 9 | 18 | 27 | 29 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 26 | 21 | 23 | 23 |
| Not sure | 17 | 21 | 13 | 18 | 14 | 15 | 19 | 18 | 14 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 25 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 26 |
| Gas power stations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| More than present | | 17 | 20 | 16 | 12 | 22 | 16 | 14 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 13 | 17 | 23 | 15 | 19 | 24 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 13 |
| Less than present | | 36 | 33 | 42 | 49 | 30 | 43 | 42 | 41 | 32 | 51 | 41 | 34 | 29 | 39 | 33 | 36 | 33 | 39 | 38 | 38 |
| Maintain current levels | | 27 | 35 | 24 | 26 | 33 | 23 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 12 | 21 | 30 | 35 | 29 | 25 | 21 | 30 | 27 | 27 | 24 |
| Not sure | | 20 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 16 | 13 | 27 | 29 | 24 | 19 | 14 | 17 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 25 |
| Coal power stations | 10 | | 45 | 40 | | | 45 | | 1.0 | 47 | | 40 | 47 | ~~ I | | ~~ | 45 | | 04 | 47 | 40 |
| More than present | 16 | 17 | 15 | 18 | 11 | 21 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 4 | 12 | 17 | 26 | 14 | 20 | 15 | 14 | 21 | 17 | 16 |
| Less than present | 43 | 43 | 47 | 46 | 54 | 41 | 47 | 49 | 49 | 38 | 59 | 49 | 41 | 34 | 48 | 37 | 45 | 44 | 39 | 44 | 47 |
| Maintain current levels | 25 | 19 | 25 | 18 | 19 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 18 | 9 | 15 | 23 | 23 | 21 17 | 17 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 14 |
| Not sure | 17 | 21 | 13 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 27 | 28 | 24 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 25 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 23 |



| Fieldwork: 18th - 19th October 2012 | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | Voting intention | | | 2010 Vote | | | Gender | | Age | | | | Social | grade | Region | | | | |
| | Total | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Male | Female | 18-24 | 25-39 | 40-59 | 60+ | ABC1 | C2DE | London | Rest of South | Midlands / Wales | North | Scotland |
| Weighted Sample | 1734 | 423 | 569 | 120 | 539 | 438 | 365 | 843 | 891 | 210 | 442 | 593 | 489 | 988 | 746 | 222 | 564 | 371 | 427 | 151 |
| Unweighted Sample | 1734 | 436 | 525 | 120 | 537 | 414 | 397 | 879 | 855 | 130 | 394 | 675 | 535 | 1162 | 572 | 234 | 620 | 344 | 373 | 163 |
| Shale gas is natural gas trapped under sedimentary rock, which is extracted using a method known as hydraulic fracturing, or "fracking". There are large reserves of shale gas in Lancashire. Some people think that using shale gas could be a solution to Britain's energy needs. Other people think that fracking is a dangerous technique that risks contaminating ground | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| water and causing minor earthquakes. From what you have seen or heard about the issue, do you think the government should or should not support the use of fracking in the UK? Should | 32 | 42 | 31 | 37 | 39 | 33 | 30 | 43 | 21 | 27 | 32 | 28 | 37 | 32 | 31 | 39 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 36 |
| Should not Don't know | 30 38 | 25 33 | 33 36 | 31 32 | 28 33 | 28 39 | 36 34 | 27 30 | 32 46 | 26 46 | 29 39 | 35 37 | 26 37 | 32 36 | 28 41 | 22 39 | 32 39 | 33 36 | 29 40 | 27 38 |
| Do you think it is acceptable or unacceptable for Prince Charles to write to government ministers to express his views? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acceptable | 73 | 79 | 70 | 70 | 78 | 72 | 75 | 71 | 74 | 61 | 71 | 76 | 76 | 74 | 71 | 70 | 76 | 75 | 68 | 74 |
| Unacceptable Don't know | 17 10 | 15 6 | 22 8 | 26 4 | 14 8 | 20 8 | 19 6 | 18 10 | 16 10 | 19 20 | 16 13 | 15 9 | 20 4 | 19 8 | 16 13 | 19 11 | 14 10 | 17 9 | 21 11 | 16 9 |
| Details of Princes Charles's letters to government ministers have not be released, but he has in the past expressed views about subjects like architecture, farming, climate change and alternative medicine. From what you have seen or read about his views, do you think his views would have a positive or negative impact on government policy? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Positive Negative Don't know | 33 18 49 | 40 16 44 | 33 20 47 | 43 21 36 | 36 17 48 | 32 20 48 | 37 16 47 | 33 21 45 | 33 14 53 | 26 17 57 | 34 15 51 | 37 16 48 | 32 23 45 | 35 19 46 | 31 16 53 | 32 18 50 | 31 18 51 | 36 18 46 | 35 16 50 | 34 21 45 |



| Fieldwork: 18th - 19th October 2012 | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | T | | <u> </u> | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | Voti | ng intei | | 2 | 010 Vot | | Ge | nder | | Aç | ge | | Social | grade | | - | Region | | |
| | Total | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Male | Female | 18-24 | 25-39 | 40-59 | 60+ | ABC1 | C2DE | London | Rest of South | Midlands / Wales | North | Scotland |
| Weighted Sample | 1734 | 423 | 569 | 120 | 539 | 438 | 365 | 843 | 891 | 210 | 442 | 593 | 489 | 988 | 746 | 222 | 564 | 371 | 427 | 151 |
| Unweighted Sample | 1734 | 436 | 525 | 120 | 537 | 414 | 397 | 879 | 855 | 130 | 394 | 675 | 535 | 1162 | 572 | 234 | 620 | 344 | 373 | 163 |
| | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Do you think the contents of Prince Charles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| letters to government ministers should or should not be published? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Should be published | 42 | 38 | 52 | 36 | 37 | 47 | 43 | 45 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 49 | 43 | 39 | 48 | 42 | 38 | 41 | 42 |
| Should not be published | 44 | 53 | 38 | 46 | 54 | 41 | 43 | 41 | 48 | 34 | 44 | 48 | 44 | 45 | 43 | 38 | 44 | 48 | 45 | 46 |
| Don't know | 14 | 9 | 10 | 18 | 9 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 28 | 17 | 13 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 14 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 13 |
| Bovine tuberculosis (TB) is an infectious disease of cattle. It can be spread from cow to cow, but is also thought to be spread by infected badgers. The government are supporting a cull of badgers in order to reduce badger numbers and reduce the risk of them spreading TB to cattle. Do you think the proposed cull of badgers should or should not go ahead? Should go ahead Should not go ahead Don't know | 31 44 25 | 46 35 18 | 29 48 23 | 27 45 28 | 40 39 21 | 30 45 25 | 21 58 21 | 34 41 25 | 29 46 25 | 29 28 42 | 34 37 29 | 30 51 19 | 31 47 22 | 35 43 22 | 27 44 30 | 35 39 26 | 30 47 24 | 32 43 25 | 30 43 27 | 33 41 25 |
| do you think the government are handling the issue of badgers and TB in cattle well or badly? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very well | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Fairly well | 12 | 25 | 7 | 17 | 20 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 19 | 9 | 11 |
| TOTAL WELL | 13 | 25 | 8 | 17 | 21 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 11 | 19 | 10 | 12 |
| Fairly badly | 33 | 34 | 32 | 37 | 34 | 31 | 38 | 32 | 33 | 21 | 27 | 34 | 42 | 35 | 30 | 29 | 33 | 34 | 31 | 34 |
| Very badly | 19 | 9 | 25 | 20 | 14 | 25 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 13 | 12 | 23 | 22 | 19 | 18 | 13 | 20 | 19 | 22 | 13 |
| TOTAL BADLY | 52 | 43 | 57 | 57 | 48 | 56 | 59 | 52 | 51 | 34 | 39 | 57 | 64 | 54 | 48 | 42 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 47 |
| Don't know | 36 | 31 | 35 | 26 | 31 | 35 | 29 | 34 | 37 | 53 | 47 | 32 | 22 | 31 | 42 | 43 | 35 | 28 | 37 | 41 |



YouGov Weighting Data

In addition to weighting by age, gender, social class and region (weighted and unweighted figures shown in the tables), YouGov also weighted its raw data by newspaper readership and political party identification:

| | Unweighted no. | Weighted no. |
|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Age and Gender | - | - |
| Male 18 to 24 | 50 | 108 |
| Male 25 to 39 | 179 | 222 |
| Male 40 to 59 | 352 | 293 |
| Male 60 + | 298 | 220 |
| Female 18 to 24 | 80 | 102 |
| Female 25 to 39 | 215 | 220 |
| Female 40 to 59 | 323 | 300 |
| Female 60 + | 237 | 269 |
| Region | | |
| North England | 373 | 427 |
| Midlands | 253 | 284 |
| East England | 188 | 166 |
| London | 234 | 222 |
| South England | 432 | 397 |
| Wales | 91 | 87 |
| Scotland | 163 | 151 |
| Social Grade | | |
| AB | 653 | 486 |
| C1 | 509 | 503 |
| C2 | 246 | 364 |
| DE | 326 | 381 |
| Newspaper Type | | |
| Express / Mail | 362 | 277 |
| Sun / Star | 145 | 407 |
| Mirror / Record | 74 | 173 |
| Guardian / Independent | 133 | 78 |
| FT / Times / Telegraph | 126 | 165 |
| Other Paper | 213 | 199 |
| No Paper | 681 | 434 |
| Political Party Identification | | |
| Labour | 514 | 564 |
| Conservative | 497 | 494 |
| Liberal Democrat | 184 | 208 |
| SNP/Plaid Cymru | 35 | 29 |
| Others | 110 | 23 |
| None/ DK | 394 | 416 |

YouGov is a member of the British Polling Council and abides by its rules. http://today.yougov.co.uk

© 2012 YouGov Plc. All Rights Reserved